UNIJERPS
Unizik Journal of Educational Research and Policy Studies VOL.20 (1);
July-December, 2025 https://unijerps.org
2023 Impact Factor: **6.834;** 2022 ***Impact Factor***: 5.156; ***2021 Impact Factor: 5.641;***
2020 Impact Factor: 5.355. *Indexing and Impact Factor URL: http://sjifactor.com/passport.php?id=21363*

CRIME INCIDENCE AND SCHOOL SAFETY STRATEGIES: A THEORETICAL EXPLORATION OF PREVENTION AND CONTROL MEASURES IN BASIC EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS

Comfort Ozavize Damisa
Department of Sociology
Modibbo Adama University, Yola, Nigeria
Email: cdamisa@mau.edu.ng ; comfortvicky.cd@gmail.com

ABSTRACT
The prevalence of crime in basic education institutions is a developing issue that has significant effects on teacher effectiveness, student learning, and the standard of education as a whole. Although many empirical studies have looked at how school-based crime manifests itself, this article offers a theoretical investigation of the problem, utilizing educational, psychological, and sociological theories to identify the underlying causes of school-based crime and develop practical preventative and control measures. In order to understand how school environments either repress or support criminal conduct, the article creates a theoretical model using frameworks like the School Climate Theory, Routine Activity Theory, and Social Disorganization Theory. It suggests that integrated approaches that target both systemic issues and individual behaviors are necessary for preventing crime in schools. A theoretical framework for next empirical studies and policy development targeted at advancing safety in basic education is provided in the article's conclusion.

Keywords: Crime Incidence, School Safety Strategies, Prevention Measures, Control Measures, Basic Education Institutions

Introduction
School safety is essential to academic achievement. Teachers, legislators, and communities have expressed worry over the prevalence of criminality in basic education institutions in recent decades, which ranges from physical assault and drug use to bullying and theft (Wang et al., 2020; Mubita, 2021). Strong theoretical engagement with the causes, dynamics, and potential solutions of school crime is still necessary, despite the fact that empirical responses have received a lot of attention. By discussing several ideas that explain how and why crime occurs in educational contexts as well as how prevention tactics can be methodically conceived and enhanced, this article offers such a foundation (Sprague & Walker, 2021; Roger, 2024).

The foundation of a high-quality education is school safety. Effective teaching and meaningful learning require a safe and encouraging atmosphere (Bradshaw et al., 2021). Engagement, academic success, and healthy social development are all considerably more likely when both teachers and students feel safe (Mayer et al., 2021).

On the other hand, learning is disturbed and staff and students' emotional health is jeopardized in environments where crime and insecurity are common (Seo & Kruis, 2022). Because of this, safety is no longer merely a side issue but rather a crucial element of academic achievement, especially at the basic school level when fundamental attitudes and knowledge are developed.

Stakeholders are concerned about the apparent increase in crime at basic education institutions. The frequency and visibility of incidents like physical assault, substance misuse, bullying, vandalism, and even sexual harassment have increased (Homer & Fisher, 2020; Fisher et al., 2021). In addition to endangering immediate safety, many types of school-based criminality also jeopardize the long-term efficacy and prestige of educational establishments. More significantly, they are a reflection of broader social problems that frequently affect educational settings, including institutional unfairness, economic inequality, and dysfunctional families (Edwards, 2021; Heidelburg et al., 2022).

The types, prevalence, and impacts of school crime have been extensively studied empirically; nevertheless, most of this research is descriptive rather than explanatory (Curran et al., 2020; Muñiz, 2021). The complex reasons behind school crime are not adequately addressed by the research, which usually concentrates on statistical trends and reactive policy measures, despite their importance (Sorensen et al., 2021; Freilich et al., 2022). Such initiatives run the risk of being cosmetic without a theoretical foundation, failing to address underlying issues or provide direction for long-term fixes (Lamoreaux & Sulkowski, 2020).

Therefore, it is imperative to engage theoretical frameworks that explain the causes and effects of crime in educational institutions in order to go beyond superficial examination. Sociological, criminological, educational, and psychological theories provide important insights into the ways in which individual, relational, and environmental factors combine to create criminal behavior (Sutton et al., 2021; Mayer et al., 2021). These frameworks serve as a guide for the creation of complex, systemic prevention interventions in addition to shedding light on underlying causes (Bradshaw et al., 2021; Sprague & Walker, 2021).

The Social Disorganization Theory, which was developed by Cliifford R. Shaw and Henry D. Mckay in 1922, for example, suggests that communities with weak social networks and few informal controls are more likely to experience crime; these conditions may be replicated in schools situated in high-crime regions (Fisher & Devlin, 2020; Hamlin & Li, 2020; Lamoreaux & Sulkowski, 2020; Weisburd et al., 2023). Routine Activity Theory was first proposed by Marcus Felson and Lawrence E. Cohen in their explanation of crime rate changes in the United States between 1947 and 1974. The theory emphasizes how crime occurs when motivated criminals meet suitable targets without proper guardianship. These circumstances might happen when

supervision is inadequate or when school design is wrong. Students may resort to deviance as a coping strategy if they are under social or psychological stress as a result of family instability, poverty, or academic failure, according to the General Strain Theory (Duarte et al., 2020; Muñiz, 2021). School climate theory, on the other hand, emphasizes the significance of institutional culture and how inclusive practices, supportive connections, and consistent standards can reduce violence in schools (Bradshaw et al., 2021; Forber-Pratt et al., 2021).

These theoretical frameworks make it clear that larger structural and interpersonal processes are inextricably linked to school crime. For instance, adolescents may unintentionally be encouraged to rebel or become resentful in a punitive educational setting that lacks empathy and trust (Nhambura, 2020; Maier & DePrince, 2020). According to Muñiz (2021) and Peguero and Bondy (2020), measures such as zero-tolerance discipline or extensive surveillance may worsen student disengagement and contribute to a school-to-prison pipeline for marginalized adolescents.

Teachers and legislators can create context-sensitive and long-lasting preventative actions by theoretically establishing school safety discourse. Theory-informed approaches encourage restorative justice, emotional support, environmental design, and community partnerships instead of solely depending on exclusionary discipline or surveillance technologies (Seo & Kruis, 2022; Sprague & Walker, 2021). Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED), for example, suggests organizing physical areas to lower crime rates while maintaining the welfare of students (Lamoreaux & Sulkowski, 2020). Similarly, it has been demonstrated that increasing student-teacher trust and creating positive school climates can increase safety without the need for overzealous enforcement (Fisher & Devlin, 2020; Bradshaw et al., 2021).

Theoretical engagement empowers stakeholders to envision schools not just as places of academic instruction but as safe, inclusive environments where students can thrive in dignity and peace (Mayer et al., 2021; Roger, 2024). By embedding prevention strategies in evidence-based frameworks, school safety initiatives can become proactive, equitable, and enduring.

Theoretical Perspectives on Crime in Schools

Social Disorganization Theory

In order to explain trends of juvenile delinquency in urban communities, Shaw and McKay (1942) established the Social Disorganization Theory, which highlights the disintegration of social institutions and normative frameworks as major causes of crime. The idea emphasizes how a lack of institutional order and social cohesiveness can cause behavioral norms to erode and deviant activities to rise in school settings (Sutton et al., 2021; Weisburd et al., 2023). Students may believe that regulations are loose or unenforced in chaotic schools, which are typified by poor administrative oversight, low staff morale, and uneven punishment. This can lead to a permissive environment

that encourages misbehavior (Sprague & Walker, 2021; Mubita, 2021). Students become less attached to and committed to prosocial behavior when there are unclear behavioral norms and accountability systems in place, which erodes the institution's moral foundation (Bradshaw et al., 2021).

The approach also emphasizes how important community involvement and relationships are to upholding order. Antisocial conduct is more likely to increase in schools with low levels of parental participation, broken teacher-student interactions, and dysfunctional peer dynamics (Hamlin & Li, 2020; Mayer et al., 2021). Without good peer support systems or positive adult role models, students could turn to destructive or defiant behavior in an attempt to feel validated. When there is a lack of moral guidance due to the absence of common values, such as respect, accountability, and cooperation, pupils mimic the instability and dysfunction found in their larger social surroundings (Edwards, 2021; Heidelburg et al., 2022). Therefore, the Social Disorganization Theory offers a convincing framework for comprehending how relational and structural breakdowns within a school community can create conditions that are conducive to crime and indiscipline.

Routine Activity Theory

According to Cohen and Felson's (1979) Routine Activity Theory, crimes happen when three factors come together in place and time: a suitable victim, a motivated perpetrator, and the lack of a skilled guardian. This paradigm emphasizes how seemingly normal school surroundings and procedures can unintentionally generate chances for criminal activity within the context of basic education institutions. For example, motivated students take advantage of the absence of supervision in unguarded corridors during class transitions, turning them into hotspots for stealing or bullying (Wang et al., 2020; Sprague & Walker, 2021). Similar to this, unattended open-access spaces like playgrounds or restrooms might encourage vandalism or victimization by peers (Fisher, Higgins, & Homer, 2021; Seo & Kruis, 2022). When routine school activities are not well managed, they become a structural risk factor because potential offenders can foresee where and when targets would be susceptible due to the predictability of school schedules (Sutton et al., 2021).

The protective function of "capable guardians," which in schools include educators, administrators, security guards, and even watchful classmates, is also highlighted by this notion. Delinquent acts are more likely to occur when guardians are not present, are not involved, or are overburdened (Fisher & Devlin, 2020; Forber-Pratt et al., 2021). Therefore, careful planning of staffing levels, student supervision, and space utilization is necessary to improve school safety. By raising the perceived danger of detection, tactics including active hallway monitoring, staggered break schedules, surveillance technology, and peer-led monitoring programs can serve as deterrents (Lamoreaux & Sulkowski, 2020; Curran, Fisher, & Viano, 2020). Thus, Routine Activity Theory provides a practical method for creating safer school environments by reorienting the focus

from the psychological makeup of criminals to the institutional routines and contextual factors that either facilitate or deter crime (Roger, 2024).

General Strain Theory

Robert Agnew's General Strain Theory (GST) builds on previous strain theories by recognizing a wide variety of pressures that can result in delinquent behavior, especially when people don't have good coping strategies. Stress in educational environments can take many different forms, including ongoing academic difficulties, harsh disciplinary actions, rejection by peers, unstable families, and socioeconomic deprivation (Mayer, Nickerson, & Jimerson, 2021; Muñiz, 2021; Duarte, Salas-Hernández, & Griffin, 2020). Students may externalize their suffering through aggression, bullying, vandalism, or other forms of misconduct as a result of these stressors, which produce negative emotions including anger, resentment, and frustration (Bradshaw et al., 2021; Mubita, 2021). GST stresses students' internal emotional states and how these emotions are impacted by both individual circumstances and institutional disparities within the school environment, in contrast to theories that just concentrate on exterior settings or regular opportunities (Edwards, 2021).

In order to lessen the consequences of stress, GST also emphasizes the significance of emotional control and support networks. Students are more likely to acquire healthy coping mechanisms when they get emotional literacy training, therapy, and positive teacher-student connections (Forber-Pratt et al., 2021; Heidelburg, Phelps & Collins, 2022;). By neglecting the underlying causes of stress, schools that neglect the psychological and socioeconomic requirements of their pupils unintentionally raise the likelihood of deviation (Seo & Kruis, 2022). As a result, from a GST standpoint, school crime prevention must incorporate interventions that lessen systemic injustices, provide academic and emotional support, and create inclusive settings that lower stressors (Maier & DePrince, 2020; Bradshaw et al., 2021). This strategy pushes school systems to engage in a supportive environment that fosters resilience and positive behavioral outcomes rather than focusing solely on disciplinary measures (Mayer et al., 2021; Sprague & Walker, 2021).

School Climate Theory

According to school climate theory, student conduct is greatly influenced by the psychosocial environment of a school as a whole, which is defined by common norms, institutional procedures, and interpersonal connections. A culture of inclusiveness and respect, clear and consistently applied rules, and supportive teacher-student connections is characteristic of a positive school climate (Bradshaw et al., 2021; Sprague & Walker, 2021). Students feel safe both physically and emotionally in these settings, which promotes a feeling of community and lowers the risk of engaging in illegal or antisocial behavior (Mubita, 2021; Mayer, Nickerson, & Jimerson, 2021). Students are more likely to internalize norms that they believe to be fair when there is trust and fairness in the

disciplinary process (Edwards, 2021; Seo & Kruis, 2022).

On the contrary, kids frequently feel alienated, resentful, or helpless when schools have authoritarian structures or inconsistent disciplinary procedures; these circumstances can lead to defiant or aggressive behavior (Muñiz, 2021; Heidelburg, Phelps, & Collins, 2022). A hostile environment that lacks student voice and respect weakens the social ties that prevent misbehavior (Forber-Pratt et al., 2021; Hamlin & Li, 2020). According to this theory, school crime is ingrained in the everyday interactions and experiences of the school community and is not just the consequence of personal dysfunction or outside stresses (Fisher, Higgins & Homer, 2021; Roger, 2024). Therefore, as a first step in lowering deviance and encouraging prosocial conduct, prevention programs should place a high priority on creating a respectful, inclusive, and engaging school climate (Bradshaw et al., 2021; Sutton et al., 2021).

Theoretical Framework for School Crime Prevention

A thorough framework for deterring and managing crime in basic education institutions must incorporate knowledge from the previously listed theories and solve the following issues:

Structural Conditions

The physical, demographic, and geographic circumstances in which schools function are referred to as structural conditions. Schools in high-crime or socioeconomically challenged neighborhoods frequently mirror the difficulties faced by the community in which they are situated (Hamlin & Li, 2020; Sorensen, Shen, & Bushway, 2021). These settings could expose pupils to criminal activity, violence, or neglect outside of school, which could then affect them within. The issue is made worse by overcrowded classrooms, dilapidated infrastructure, and insufficient security measures, which result in settings devoid of the resources, supervision, and order required to deter abnormal conduct (Lamoreaux & Sulkowski, 2020; Wang et al., 2020). According to Fisher, Higgins and Homer (2021) and Cvetković, Nikolić and Lukić (2024), schools that have damaged fences, poorly lighted halls, and little surveillance are, for instance, more susceptible to theft, vandalism, and physical altercations.

The frequency and type of crime-related incidents can also be influenced by the demographic makeup of the student population, which includes elements like age range, cultural variety, socioeconomic status, and language origins (Edwards, 2021; Heidelburg, Phelps, & Collins, 2022). If the special needs of these students are not identified and met, schools that serve high-risk groups—such as students from marginalized communities—may face increased behavioral issues (Muñiz, 2021; Duarte, Salas-Hernández, & Griffin, 2020). Therefore, a structural audit that finds demographic, logistical, and spatial weaknesses must be the first step in a preventive framework. Reducing crime-inducing constraints ingrained in the social and physical architecture of basic education institutions requires investments in inclusive and safe infrastructure, fair resource

distribution, and purposeful student grouping (Sprague & Walker, 2021; Roger, 2024).

Institutional Culture

A school's institutional culture refers to its internal ethos, including its common beliefs, customs, leadership styles, and communication methods. By defining what is considered appropriate, how authority is viewed, and whether or not students feel appreciated, a school's culture has a significant impact on how its students behave (Thompson, 2021; Wang, 2022). Open, respectful, transparent, and accountable schools typically create trusting environments where kids are less likely to commit misbehavior (Heidelburg et al., 2022). In this dynamic, school administrators' leadership style is crucial. Participatory decision-making and an emphasis on staff and student empowerment are hallmarks of transformational leadership, which can foster an environment where everyone takes ownership in preserving mutual respect and safety (Sprague & Walker, 2021; Roger, 2024). Conversely, alienation, confusion, and rule-breaking are frequently higher in schools with authoritarian or laissez-faire leadership (Thompson, 2021; Muñiz, 2021). Students may act rebelliously and oppose authority when rules are applied inconsistently or when communication is dismissive and top-down (Lamoreaux & Sulkowski, 2020). Furthermore, bullying, discrimination, and conflict are likely to increase in schools that do not actively promote values of fairness, cultural sensitivity, and nonviolence (Duarte, Salas-Hernández, & Griffin, 2020; Cvetković, Nikolić, & Lukić, 2024). Building a robust, inclusive, and open institutional culture must be a top priority in any theoretically based crime prevention strategy. According to Fisher, Higgins, and Homer (2021), this entails leadership development, inclusive policy creation, student voice forums, and the advancement of common values that oppose all forms of violence and marginalization.

Behavioral Patterns

Behavioral patterns are the individual and group behaviors of staff and students that are influenced by social, psychological, and environmental factors. Pupils bring a rich matrix of personal histories, emotional experiences, and social influences to school; they are not empty vessels (Hamlin & Li, 2020; Edwards, 2021). When left untreated, certain people's trauma histories, unidentified behavioral illnesses, or unstable familial situations can show up as violence or withdrawal (Wang et al., 2020; Agnew, 2006). This dynamic revolves around coping strategies, whether they are maladaptive or adaptive. Particularly in high-stress situations, schools that do not acknowledge and assist kids' emotional needs run the risk of fostering harmful behavioral patterns (Fisher et al., 2021; Heidelburg et al., 2022).

Peer dynamics also have a significant impact on how students behave. Depending on the social climate of the school, peer pressure, social exclusion, and group identity development can either discourage or promote crime (Muñiz, 2021; Wang, 2022). While marginalized students may create cliques that encourage disobedience and chaos, students who feel supported and

included are more likely to model prosocial behaviors (Sorensen, Shen & Bushway, 2021). Students' reactions to conflict or authority can also be impacted by exposure to outside factors like social media, violent neighborhoods, or criminal activity at home (Lamoreaux & Sulkowski, 2020). Psychosocial interventions, like peer mentoring programs, counseling services, and behavior monitoring systems, are therefore essential components of an all-encompassing crime prevention plan (Sprague & Walker, 2021). In addition to assisting in the correction of maladaptive behaviors, these supports foster a school culture that values empathy, resilience, and sound judgment (Thompson, 2021; Roger, 2024). According to this integrated concept, proactive and context-sensitive crime prevention tactics should concurrently address institutional inadequacies, psychological pressures, and environmental hazards.

Theoretical Implications for Prevention and Control Strategies

The application of theory to prevention strategies can guide schools and policymakers in the following areas:

Routine Activity Theory's application of environmental design and supervision highlights the necessity of managing the convergence of a suitable target, a motivated offender, and the lack of effective guardians (Cohen & Felson, 1979). Rearranging physical areas to lessen chances for unsupervised encounters that could result in misbehavior is one way that this principle is put into practice in schools. To deter bullying and vandalism, this involves making sure playgrounds, stairwells, and hallways are well-lit and have unobstructed views (Wang et al., 2020; Muñiz, 2021). By creating the impression of increased supervision, security cameras, open floor plans, and well-placed windows can act as deterrents (Heidelburg et al., 2022). Additionally, when staff presence can serve as a deterrent, such as during lunch breaks, class transitions, and arrival or dismissal times, supervision must be given priority. By designating particular employees to keep an eye on important areas during these hours, capable guardianship is reinforced and the possibility of delinquent behavior taking place in unattended areas is decreased (Sprague & Walker, 2021).

Strengthening Social Cohesion

According to the Social Disorganization Theory, deviant behavior rises as social systems are undermined (Shaw & McKay, 1942). Building strong networks between educators, parents, and students is one way to improve social cohesiveness in the school setting. Mutual accountability and shared values can be fostered through collaborative activities, school-community projects, and regular parent-teacher conferences (Thompson, 2021; Duarte, Salas-Hernández & Griffin, 2020). Such relationships build a collective sense of responsibility, where stakeholders work together to maintain a safe and supportive learning environment. Inclusive school governance where students and community members have a voice in decision-making can also foster trust and engagement (Fisher, Higgins, & Homer, 2021). When families and communities are integrated into the fabric of school life, they become partners in maintaining discipline,

reinforcing school norms, and supporting students who may otherwise be marginalized (Cvetković, Nikolić & Lukić, 2024).

Emotional and Behavioral Support

According to the General Strain Theory, people may turn to deviation as a coping strategy for stress and unfulfilled goals (Agnew, 2006). Therefore, schools must put students' emotional health first by offering timely and easily accessible psychological support and counseling services (Hamlin & Li, 2020; Edwards, 2021). To help students deal with peer pressure, family instability, academic stress, and socioeconomic difficulties, trained counselors ought to be on hand (Fisher et al., 2021). Furthermore, the creation of focused interventions should made possible by the early identification of at-risk students—those exhibiting symptoms of distress, behavioral abnormalities, or disengagement. These could consist of structured peer support groups, customized learning plans, and mentoring programs (Wang, 2022). Schools can help reroute potentially dangerous behavior into constructive pathways by addressing the underlying causes of student strain. This will lower the overall incidence of crime and misconduct in the learning environment (Heidelburg et al., 2022).

Enhancing School Climate

According to school climate theory, student behavior and academic results are influenced by the general atmosphere of the school, which is characterized by relationships, safety, instructional strategies, and institutional support (Thapa et al., 2013; Thompson, 2021). Students who experience peer and staff support, safety, and respect are said to be in a healthy school climate. Teachers and administrators should set an example of empathy, justice, and open communication in order to foster relationships that are respectful and compassionate (Sprague & Walker, 2021). Prosocial behavior can be reinforced and inclusiveness can be fostered through restorative practices, student recognition programs, and anti-bullying campaigns (Lamoreaux & Sulkowski, 2020). Establishing clear, uniform disciplinary processes that all students believe to be equitable is equally crucial (Roger, 2024). Discipline reinforces behavioral expectations and fosters trust when it is handled impartially, proportionately, and predictably. When combined, these initiatives help create a school climate that discourages misbehavior and promotes a climate of accountability and respect for one another (Muñiz, 2021; Wang, 2022).

This theoretical discussion shows that crime in schools is a systemic phenomenon impacted by the interaction of human experiences, institutional culture, and environmental settings rather than being limited to the acts of lone individuals. Together, the theories of social disorganization, routine activity, general strain, and school climate show how deviant behaviors can be triggered by things like broken community ties, inadequate supervision, psychological stress, and hostile school environments (Agnew, 2006; Cohen & Felson, 1979; Shaw & McKay, 1942; Thapa et al., 2013). Comprehending these dynamics offers a more thorough perspective

for analyzing the causes of school crime, going beyond punitive measures to take into account the societal factors that encourage or discourage misbehavior (Fisher et al., 2021; Edwards, 2021).

Furthermore, theories provide conceptual clarity and an intervention roadmap, making them essential tools for organizing complicated occurrences into logical patterns. Instead of taking a disjointed or haphazard approach to school safety, theoretical models allow stakeholders to pinpoint and identify particular risk factors. For instance, General Strain Theory highlights the necessity of emotional and psychological support networks, whereas Routine Activity Theory highlights the significance of physical monitoring and structured supervision (Hamlin & Li, 2020; Heidelburg et al., 2022). Interventions become more purposeful, evidence-based, and ultimately more successful when prevention efforts are in line with these theoretical insights.

Enhancing school safety necessitates transformative, not just reactive, actions, which is another important conclusion. Reactive tactics, including zero-tolerance policies or disciplinary consequences, frequently don't address the underlying reasons of student misconduct and may even make it worse (Muñiz, 2021). On the other hand, prevention through involvement, empathy, and structural change is the focus of theoretically informed approaches. Positive behaviors are modeled, reinforced, and internalized in environments created by, for example, strengthening school-community relationships (as promoted by Social Disorganization Theory) or creating inclusive school climates (as stressed by School Climate Theory) (Duarte et al., 2020; Cvetković et al., 2024). Through the development of resilience and agency, these tactics assist students in overcoming obstacles without using violence or causing disruption (Fisher et al., 2021; Roger, 2024).

School safety is a collaborative effort that requires collaboration at many levels, including students, teachers, administrators, parents, and legislators. It is not the duty of a single actor. According to the theories discussed in this article, addressing institutional systems at the macro level as well as micro-level behaviors is necessary for long-lasting change. Strong policy frameworks, sufficient money, and community collaborations that uphold a common commitment to safety, equity, and the welfare of students are necessary to support schools (Sprague & Walker, 2021; Wang, 2022). In addition to improving knowledge of school crime, theoretical involvement stimulates more comprehensive, long-term solutions that put prevention, inclusion, and transformation ahead of punishment and exclusion.

Conclusion

Theories of behavior and crime provide useful frameworks for comprehending and resolving the complex issues surrounding school safety. Teachers and policymakers can more effectively pinpoint the root causes of deviance and create focused, evidence-based solutions by utilizing these theories, which include the Social Disorganization

Theory, Routine Activity Theory, General Strain Theory, and School Climate Theory. To foster safety and diversity, schools must consciously restructure routines, relationships, and settings rather than depending on superficial or reactionary fixes like zero-tolerance policies or severe discipline. This entails promoting healthy school environments, enhancing social cohesiveness, enhancing physical security, and attending to the emotional and psychological needs of kids. As one-size-fits-all approaches are frequently ineffectual, it is crucial that theory-informed strategies be customized to the unique demographic, cultural, and structural circumstances of each school. Continuous research, assessment, and modification are necessary to guarantee the efficacy and sustainability of these initiatives. Schools can only foster environments that not only discourage misbehavior but also promote each student's overall growth and well-being by using this proactive, comprehensive approach.

REFERENCES

Bradshaw, C. P., Cohen, J., Espelage, D. L., & Nation, M. (2021). Addressing school safety through comprehensive school climate approaches. *School Psychology Review, 50*(2-3), 221-236.

Burton, A. L., Pickett, J. T., Jonson, C. L., Cullen, F. T., & Burton Jr, V. S. (2021). Public support for policies to reduce school shootings: A moral-altruistic model. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency, 58*(3), 269-305.

Cohen, Lawrence E.; Felson, Marcus (1979). "Social Change and Crime Rate Trends: A Routine Activity Approach". *American Sociological Review*. ***44*** *(4): 588–608.*

Curran, F. C., Fisher, B. W., & Viano, S. L. (2020). Mass school shootings and the short-run impacts on use of school security measures and practices: National evidence from the Columbine tragedy. *Journal of School Violence, 19*(1), 6-19.

Cvetković, V. M., Nikolić, N., & Lukić, T. (2024). Exploring students' and teachers' insights on school-based disaster risk reduction and safety: A case study of Western Morava Basin, *Serbia Safety, 10*(2), 50.

Duarte, C. D. P., Salas-Hernández, L., & Griffin, J. S. (2020). Policy determinants of inequitable exposure to the criminal legal system and their health consequences among young people. *American Journal of Public Health*, *110*(S1), S43-S49.

Edwards, E. C. (2021). Centering race to move towards an intersectional ecological framework for defining school safety for Black students. *School Psychology Review, 50*(2-3), 254-273.

Fisher, B. W., & Devlin, D. N. (2020). School crime and the patterns of roles of school resource officers: Evidence from a national longitudinal study. *Crime & Delinquency, 66*(11), 1606-1629.

Fisher, B. W., & Devlin, D. N. (2020). School crime and the patterns of roles of school resource officers: Evidence from a national longitudinal study. *Crime & Delinquency*, *66*(11), 1606-1629.

Fisher, B. W., Higgins, E. M., & Homer, E. M. (2021). School crime and punishment and the implementation of security cameras: Findings from a national longitudinal study. *Justice Quarterly*, *38*(1), 22-46.

Forber-Pratt, A. J., El Sheikh, A. J., Robinson, L. E., Espelage, D. L., Ingram, K. M., Valido, A., & Torgal, C. (2021). Trauma-informed care in schools: Perspectives from school resource officers and school security professionals during professional development training. *School Psychology Review*, *50*(2-3), 344-359.

Freilich, J. D., Chermak, S. M., Connell, N. M., Klein, B. R., & Greene-Colozzi, E. A. (2022). Using open-source data to better understand and respond to American school shootings: Introducing and exploring the American School Shooting Study (TASSS). *Journal of School Violence*, *21*(2), 93-118.

Hamlin, D., & Li, A. (2020). The relationship between parent volunteering in school and school safety in disadvantaged urban neighborhoods. *Journal of School Violence*, *19*(3), 362-376.

Heidelburg, K., Phelps, C., & Collins, T. A. (2022). Reconceptualizing school safety for Black students. *School Psychology International*, *43*(6), 591-612.

Homer, E. M., & Fisher, B. W. (2020). Police in schools and student arrest rates across the United States: Examining differences by race, ethnicity, and gender. *Journal of School Violence*, *19*(2), 192-204.

Lamoreaux, D., & Sulkowski, M. L. (2020). An alternative to fortified schools: Using crime prevention through environmental design (CPTED) to balance student safety and psychological well-being. *Psychology in the Schools*, *57*(1), 152-165.

Maier, S. L., & DePrince, B. T. (2020). College students' fear of crime and perception of safety: The influence of personal and university prevention measures. *Journal of Criminal Justice Education*, *31*(1), 63-81.

Mayer, M. J., Nickerson, A. B., & Jimerson, S. R. (2021). Preventing school violence and promoting school safety: Contemporary scholarship advancing science, practice, and policy. *School Psychology Review*, *50*(2-3), 131-142.

Mubita, K. (2021). Understanding school safety and security: Conceptualization and definitions. *Journal of Lexicography and Terminolog*, *5*(1), 76-86.

Muñiz, J. O. (2021). Exclusionary discipline policies, school-police partnerships, surveillance technologies and disproportionality: A review of the school to prison pipeline literature. *The Urban Review*, *53*(5), 735-760.

Nhambura, M. (2020). Handling of violent behaviour of learners in secondary schools: A case study of Vryburg Cluster in North-West province (Doctoral dissertation, North-West University (South Africa).).

Peguero, A. A., & Bondy, J. M. (2020). The importance of immigration with future research about school safety. *Race and Justice*, *10*(3), 247-268.

Roger, F. (2024). Ensuring campus safety: policy frameworks and procedures for crime prevention in modern education. *International Journal of Law and Criminology*, *4*(09), 1-6.

Seo, C., & Kruis, N. E. (2022). The impact of school's security and restorative justice measures on school violence. *Children and Youth Services Review*, *132*, 106305.

Sorensen, L. C., Shen, Y., & Bushway, S. D. (2021). Making schools safer and/or escalating disciplinary response: A study of police officers in North Carolina schools. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, *43*(3), 495-519.

Sprague, J. R., & Walker, H. M. (2021). Safe and healthy schools: Practical prevention strategies. Guilford Publications.

Sutton, A., Cherney, A., White, R., & Clancey, G. (2021). Crime prevention. Cambridge University Press.

Wang, K., Chen, Y., Zhang, J., & Oudekerk, B. A. (2020). Indicators of School Crime and Safety: 2019. NCES 2020-063/NCJ 254485. National Center for Education Statistics.

Weisburd, D., Maher, L., Sherman, L., Buerger, M., Cohn, E., & Petrosino, A. (2023). Contrasting crime general and crime specific theory: The case of hot spots of crime. In New directions in criminological theory (pp. 45-70). Routledge.