UNIJERPS
Unizik Journal of Educational Research and Policy Studies VOL.7;
https://unijerps.org
2021 Impact Factor: 5.641; 2020 Impact Factor: 5.355. January-June, 2021
Indexing and Impact Factor URL: http://sjifactor.com/passport.php?id=21363

ACADEMIC JOURNALS
expand your knowledge
http://www.academicjournals.org

MANAGING FUNCTIONAL UNIVERSITY EDUCATION FOR GLOBAL COMPETIVENESS IN NIGERIA

OLISAEMEKA, Eugene Okorie
Department of Educational Management & Policy,
Federal College of Education (Technical) Umunze. Anambra State.
dramaechina@yahoo.com
08035537958

OKEJEME, Stanley O.
Department of Adult &Non FormalRducation,
Federal College of Education (Technical) Umunze, Anambra State.
Onyistan100@gmail.com

&

AMAECHINA, Anita Chigozie
National Commission for Colleges of Education
amaechinaanita@yahoo.com

Abstract
Globalization has not only increased competition in world economies but also within and between the education systems. It has also increased the interdependence of people, information, ideas and institutions around the world and had brought about so many positive benefits. The paper focused onmanaging functional university education for global competiveness in Nigeria. It highlighted changing roles of university education in a globalized world, university education and global competiveness and challenges in managing university education for global competiveness. Recommendations were also made which include: government should improve on the infrastructure base of the universities in the country, policies that would encourage high level interactions and programme exchange with other universities across the globe should be encouraged and more funding should be provided for the university education system.

Key words: Managing, functional, university, education, global,competiveness.


UNIJERPS
Unizik Journal of Educational Research and Policy Studies VOL.7;
https://unijerps.org
2021 Impact Factor: 5.641; 2020 Impact Factor: 5.355. January-June, 2021
Indexing and Impact Factor URL: http://sjifactor.com/passport.php?id=21363

ACADEMIC JOURNALS
expand your knowledge
http://www.academicjournals.org

Introduction

Education is widely accepted as a major instrument for promoting socio economic, political and cultural development in Nigeria. Education is as old as human existence on earth. Uponall the primary human life survival tools and skills,education stands out as the most singular powerful instrument of life which is sharpening and retooling others. Education today still remains aglobal matter in all the nations because of the intellectual power it produces which governs all aspectof life and sustainable development.Universities educate future leaders anddevelop the high-level technical capacity that brings about economic growth and development (Ekundayo& Ajayi, 2009).Higher education is indeed the backbone of any society, as its quality determines the quality of human resources and development. Higher education as we see it today is a complex system facilitating teaching, research and international cooperation. Higher education plays a crucial role in the supply of high level manpower for the socio-political and economic development of a nation.

Globalization has not only increased competition in world economiesbut also within and between the education systems. Policies andstrategies that drive educational reforms have been adjusted to thenew realities by creating structures in education systems that allowassessing, comparing and rank-ordering national and regional educationperformances. Education reforms in different countries todayshare similar assumptions, values and characteristics due to theendless flow of information and harmonization of education policiesthrough increased global educational borrowing and lending (Sahlberg, 2006).The process of globalization has increased the interdependence of people, information, ideas and institutions around the world. It had brought about so many positive benefits include which include, facilitating dialogue and exchange among people from different cultural and religious backgrounds and providing access to knowledge and opportunities through information communication technologies. However, globalization has also deepened inequalities for individuals and countries alike, and there is a growing gap between those who have access to knowledge and learn to master it, and those who do not.

Many countries are reforming their education systems to providetheir citizens with knowledge and skills that enable them to engageactively in democratic societies and dynamic

knowledge-based economies. The competitiveness of the national educationsystem can only be ensured with the powerfulgovernmental support, which has to be based on theunderstanding of highly important role of higher education system as a factor of social development (Onokerrhoraye, 2015).. Such support must also be provided taking into account thecomplexity of modern social and economic conditions of functioning of higher education, particularly, the sharpening of competition on the education market in the global context; the increase of the mass-type character of higher education; the necessity of implementing the innovative ways of development of national economy and facilitating innovative activity of higher education institutions. Ensuring competitiveness of the university education system must be basedon the state policy of searching, forming and holding competitive advantages of both individual universities and the system as a whole.

Changing Roles of University Education in a Globalized World

The relation between globalization and university education is complex and dynamic.It is clear that the forces of globalization are transforming the nature and function of highereducation. However,university education is driving globalization, as it transcends bordersand cultures in the pursuit of knowledge and the free exchange of ideas. University education also empowered young people to participate and also help shape the processes of globalization. It is afactor in promoting democracy, sustainable development and economic growth a foundationfor building a better future for all.Globalization, therefore, poses both new challenges and opportunities forUniversity education (Sahlberg, 2006).According to the UNESCO (2008), in 1998 there were 1.5 million students studying abroad and 2004 this figure had doubled to 2.4 million. Students from developing countries, in particular from Africa, are the most mobile. One million people from developing countries are studying at higher education institutions in industrialized countries. An estimated 1 out of 16 students from sub-Saharan Africa study in a country other than their own. Such increased mobility creates many positive new opportunities, especially in terms of fostering greater intercultural dialogue, international cooperation but can also constitute an enormous loss for countries in terms of development.

Our world is becoming ever more globalized and knowledge-based. Our society is getting more complex and heterogeneous, consisting of individuals characterized by intriguing sets ofmultiple identities, based on their gender, ethnicity, religion, nationality, profession and hobbies. The use of information and communication technologies (ICTs) is expanding rapidly, andcan play a vital role in increasing access to education, especially in developing countries. At a time when state budgets for higher education are decreasing, ICTs provide a cost effective meansof delivery. In a technological era, virtual and e-learning can also create an education experiencethat is more responsive to learner needs and aspirations. However, the use of ICTs does bring new kinds of problems, such as those regard ingcontent, intellectual property, and linguistic diversity. These challenges must be navigatedcarefully if we are to maximize the benefits and minimize the risks (Castells & Himanen, 2002).

Globalization has challenged institutions of highereducation to explore ways in which global dimensions areexpressed on a local level. In other words, our contemporary local context calls for a re-examination of the role and purpose of education in developing individuals andsocieties highlighting the role of the educator. New immigration patterns, the growth of information and communication technologies, and competitive global markets permeate geopolitical borders having implications for educational practices (Agnew& Fox, 2014). However, a growing awareness of global impacts such as population growth, immigration trends, environmental concerns, conflict, terrorism, girls' education, persistence of poverty, energyconsumption, food shortage, and international divides ofaffluence and poverty, necessitate an immediate response from institutions of higher education in how to prepare educators to lead in this interdependent global reality. There is need to motivate and internationalize thecurriculum to reflect the changing nature of knowledge andgreater global interdependency among countries andinterconnectivity between local and global contexts (Agnew, 2012).

University Education and Global Competiveness

Globalization is a cultural paradox and it simultaneously unifies and diversifies people and cultures. It unifies national education policies by integrating them with the broader global trends (Sahlberg, 2004). Because problems and challenges

are similar from one education system to another, solutions and education reform agenda also are becoming similar.Due to international bench marking of education systems by using common indicators and the international comparisons of student achievement, the distinguishing features of different education systems are becoming more visible. Globalization has not only increased competition in world economies but also within and between the education systems. Policies and strategies that drive educational reforms have been adjusted to thenew realities by creating structures in education systems that allow assessing, comparing and rank-ordering national and regional education performances. Education reforms in different countries todayshare similar assumptions, values and characteristics due to the endless flow of information and harmonization of education policies through increased global educational borrowing and lending (Sahlberg, 2006).

Many countries are reforming their university education systems to provide their citizens with knowledge and skills that enable them to engage actively in democratic societies and dynamic knowledge-based economies (OECD, 2000; Riley, 2004). Globalization has also accelerated international collaboration, exchange of ideas and transfer of education policies between the education systems (Carnoy, 2009; Steiner-Khamsi, 2004). Analyzing global policy developments and education reforms have become acommon practice in many ministries of education, development agencies and regional administrations. Therefore, the world's education systems inevitably share some core values, functions and structures. The question arises whether increased global interaction among policy-makers and educators, especially bench marking ofeducation systems through agreed indicators and borrowing and lending educational policies, has promoted common approaches to education reform throughout the world (Riley & Torrance, 2003).

In addition, due toglobal declarations such as Millennium Development Goals and Education for All, increased efforts have been made to provide basicschool education to all children and to expand access and relevanceof secondary education (World Bank, 2005). As a consequence, curriculum development, student assessment and teacher evaluation, integration of information and communication technologies intoteaching and learning and proficiency of basic competences, i.e. reading and writing skills, and

mathematical and scientific literacyhave become common priorities in education reforms around the world (Hargreaves, 2001; Sacks, 2000).

Successful economies compete on the basis of high value, not only low cost. High value is best guaranteed by well-trained and educated personnel and flexible lifelong learning opportunities for all citizens. The most frequently presented general idea for increasing economic competitiveness is to equip people with the skills and attitudes for economic and civic success in an increasingly knowledge-based economy (Hargreaves, 2003; Schweke, 2004). Governments have an essential role to play by offering and guaranteeing good university education that adequately emphasizes the core determinants of economic competitiveness. However, it has been difficult to translate this central role of education into concrete actions and programs that lead to improved human capital and therefore contribute to the social and economic progress.

Challenges in managing University Education for Global Competiveness

The story of university education in Nigeria today has largely been a story of mixed fortune. These institutions initially laid claims in making respectable impact on the socio-political and economic advancement of Nigeria. Today, there are doubts whether Nigerian universities under the present conditions would be able to compete globally by connecting with the new international knowledge system and adopt, adapt and further develop the new technologies needed in the wider society (Ekundayo & Ajayi, 2009). The challenges inherent in the management of university education include:

Inadequate funding

Inadequate funding is the most critical challenge that has threatened the attainment ofgood quality university education in Nigeria. The problem of inadequate funding of education has been a bane to educational development in the country. Onokerrhoraye, (2015) maintained that a major constraint to attaining academic excellence in Nigerian universities is financial constraints which made many academics and nonacademics to be working under difficult circumstances. Many institutions of higher learning in Nigeria were unable to build lecture halls, students' hostels, equip laboratories and workshops and payment of staff salaries, research grants, allowances and medical bills.

Volatile and militant student unionism

One of thebanes of effective university management in Nigeria in recent times is the unbridled student violent reaction tonational issues and internal problems. According to Ibukun (2007) and Akindutire (2007), the result of student militancy and violent unionism has been the constant closure of universities, removal of vice-chancellors among others.

Political interference

It has been observed thatuniversities these days are not totally free from the handof politics outside the university system. Government ofthe day, most especially in the state-owned varsities, interfere a lot in terms of selection and choice of the chief executive, deans, departmental heads, directors of programmes and above all, the selection of vice-chancellors. A situation whereby the members of the university are nottotally free to choose who their head would be without government intervention would not augur well for the university system. According to Adegbite (2007), another area of political interference is constitution of Visitation Panels by the Visitor at wills instead of the minimum fiveyears intervals, the main aim of which is to witch-hunt orcrucifies the vice-chancellors and the university authorities.

Lack of resources

Quality university education is dependent on the quality and quantity of human andmaterial resources put in place in the various institutions. The lack of infrastructures such as science laboratories, workshops, students' hostels, libraries andelectricity will affect the quality of education. For good quality delivery, these facilities must meet the minimum standard specified by the National Universities Commission (NUC). For quality teaching and learning, the class size must be small for effective students/teacher interaction. Unfortunately, most institutions of higher learning in Nigeria, the lecture halls areovercrowded with majority of the students standing at the corridors during lectures. However, the libraries in most institutions of higher learning in the country are stocked with obsolete text books, with current journals and text books lacking (Peretomode, 2008).

Secret cults

One of the challenges facing tertiary institutionsin Nigeria today is how to handle the menace and aggressiveness of cult members. Never before has the potential for the destruction of lives and

property on campuses been so outrageous and horrible presently (Ogunbameru, 2004). However, the issue of cultism among the students has opened a new and very dangerous dimension to the situation of things in our educational institutions. Smah (2007) posited that where cults exist, there is no guarantee that academic programmes and activities would run normally. Hence the university may run the risk of being constantly closed or disrupted.

Poor policy implementation

Poor policy implementation is a challenge to quality delivery in education. The poor quality delivery is responsible for the abysmal low performance of graduates of institutions of higher learning in Nigeria in their world of work and the alarming incidence of examination malpractice. Ogunbameru, (2004) arguedthat, our policies are written by knowledgeable writers who have foresight and believe strongly in what they write for the future but the problem comes when it comes to translating theory into practice by implementers. However, several factors could be adduced as inhibitors to smooth implementation of educational policies and they include: government underfunding of education; injudicious utilization of available funds by implementation agencies, vice chancellors, and rectors, provosts', deans of faculties and heads of department.

Lack of vibrant staff development programmes

Most institutions of higher learning in Nigeria lack staff development programme fortraining and re-training of staff. Vibrant staff development programme on acontinuous basis will help academics and non-academics to clarify and modify theirbehaviour, attitude, value, skills and competencies. In this way, they grow anddevelop in their knowledge and thus become more effective and efficient in the performance of tasks. Staff development is paramount because knowledge of today is only sufficient for today. In this era of knowledge explosion and emergent knowledge based economy, staff development should be the priority of any nation (Peretomode, 2008).

Conclusion

Many countries are reforming their university education systems to provide their citizens with knowledge and skills that enable them to engage actively in democratic societies and dynamic knowledge-based economies. Globalization has also accelerated

international collaboration, exchange of ideas and transfer of education policies between the education systems. However, global policy developments and education reforms has become acommon practice in many ministries of education, development agencies and regional administrations. Therefore, the world's education systems inevitably share some core values, functions and structures. However, there are still doubts whether Nigerian universities under the present conditions would be able to compete globally by connecting with the new international knowledge system and adopt, adapt and further develop the new technologies needed in the wider society.

Recommendations

1. Government should improve on the infrastructure baseof the universities in the country so as to be able to compete globally.
2. Government should put up policies that would encourage high level interactions and programme exchange with other universities across the globe.
3. Government should provide more funding for the university education.
4. Government should minimize external interference in governance of higher Education institutions.
5. Government should adhere strictly and implement educational policies to avoid delays in the university system.

References

Adegbite, J.G. (2007). *The Education Reform Agenda: Challenges for tertiary education administration in Nigeria.* Ado-Ekiti: Green Line Publishers.

Agnew, M. (2012). Strategic Planning: An Examinationof the Role of the Disciplines in Sustaining Internationalization of the University. *Journal of Studiesin International Education.* 17(2), 183-202.

Agnew, M and Fox, R (2014). The Role of Education in A Globalized World: Internationalizing The Curriculum. *Journal of Emerging Trends in Educational 5(8), 134-137.*

Akindutire, I.O. (2007). Administration of higher education. Lagos: Sunray Press.

Carnoy, M. (2009). Globalization and Educational Reform. What planners need to know. Paris: Unesco and IIEP.

Castells, M. &Himanen, P. (2002). *The Information Society and the Welfare State.*The Finnish Model. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Ekundayo, H.T and Ajayi, I.A (2009).Towards effective management of university education in Nigeria. *International NGO Journal. 4(8), 342-347.*

Hargreaves, A. (2003*). Teaching in the Knowledge Society. Education in the Age of Insecurity*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.\

Hargreaves, A. (2001). *Learning to Change Teaching Beyond Subjects and Standards.* San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Ibukun, W.O. (2007). *Educational management: theory and practice.* Ado-Ekiti: Green Line Publishers.

OECD,(2000). *Knowledge Management in the Learning Society*. Paris: OECD.

Ogunbameru, O.A.(2004). Personality dimension to cultism in Nigeriantertiaryinstitutions: a sociological perspective. *Journal of Higher Education. 16(2), 91-98.*

Onokerrhoraye, A.G. (2015). *Our march to academic excellence*. Benin-City: Uniben press limited.

Peretomode, V.F. (2008). *What is higher in higher education.* Benin-City: Justice Jecko publishers Ltd.

Riley, K. (2004). Schooling the citizens of tomorrow: The challenges for teaching and learning across the global north/south divide. *Journal of Educational Change. 5(4), 389–415.*

Riley,K.& Torrance, H. (2003). Big change question: As national policy-makers seek to find solutions to national education issues, do international comparisons. *Journal of Educational Change. 4(4), 419–425.*

Sacks, P. (2000). *Standardized Minds: The high price of America's testing culture and what we can do to change it*. Cambridge, MA: Perseus Books.

Sahlberg, P. (2004). Teaching and globalization. *International Research Journal of Managing Global Transitions 2(1), 65–83.*

Sahlberg, P. (2006). Education Reform for Raising Economic Competitiveness. *Internati onal Research Journal of*

Managing Global Transitions 2(2), 128– 135.

Schweke, W. (2004). *Smart Money: Education and Economic Development*. Washington, DC: Economic Policy Institute.

Smah, O.S. (2007). *Violent campus cultism: implication for university management.* Lagos: Bolabay Publications.

Steiner-Khamsi, G. (2004).*The Global Politics of Educational Borrowing and Lending.* New York, NY: Teachers College Press.

UNESCO (2008). Pathways Towardsa Shared Future: Changing Rolesof Higher Education in a Globalized World. Paris. UNESCO.

World Bank (2005). Higher education: the lesson of experience.Washington, DC: The World Bank.

UNIJERPS